

February 18, 2000

Mr. Vernon A. Williams, Secretary Surface Transportation Board Office of the Secretary Case Control Unit Atm: STB Ex Parte No. 582 1925 K Speet N.W. Washington, D.C. 20423-0001



Dear Six:

SMED International Inc. is an Office Furniture Manufacturing company that is a major user of rail service in the U.S. and Canada. The purpose of this letter is to comment on the issues to be discussed on March St. 2000 in Ex Parte 582.

We encourage the Surface Transportation Board to focus on whether the proposed CN-BNSF combination is good for shippers, not on potential downstream effects. The CN-BNSF combination should be judged on its own merits and if other carriers propose margers, then these cases ought to be evaluated on their merits.

The tirming of the proposed CN-BNSF combination is not the central issue for our company, service is our main concerns as well as our customers. Recent mergers have failed on service, not because of timing. Accordingly, the Surface Transportation Board should focus on ensuring that CN-BNSF combination, and any other future mergers, will deliver service especially with the massive shortage of drivers within North

The Surface Transportation Board has a comprehensive process to evaluate railroad transactions and protect the public interest. It should fairly evaluate the CN-BNSF combination according to that process. Do not let other railroads use the Surface Transportation Board process to protect themselves and not the shippers.

Sincerely,

Zandee

VP of Distribution/Traffic

(miteried Cimes of the Secretary

FEB 29 2000

Pari di Rajain Denoma

05/54/00